



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,144	08/21/2006	Takanori Fukuyama	2006_1088A	7137
52349	7590	08/28/2008	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P.			ELBIN, JESSE A	
2033 K. STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			2615	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/28/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,144	FUKUYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JESSE A. ELBIN	2615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JESSE A. ELBIN. (3) _____.

(2) Chuck Watts. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-19.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Watts pointed out discrepancies within the restriction requirement of 21 August 2008. Examiner agreed there were typos present. Agreement was reached regarding the correct grouping of claims. Group 1 contains claims 1-17. Group 2 contains claims 1, and 18-19 with claim 1 being generic to both groups.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Suhan Ni/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614	
---	--